



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed applicants invention in view of the prior art of record to clarify claim language. Also discussed 101 issue of claim 26. Thereafter, the Examiner explained that the invention as claimed still would not overcome the prior art of record given a broadest reasonable interpretation. Examiner introduced a proposal to incorporate limitations of dependent claim 6 into independent claim 1, and similarly incorporate claim 6 into claim 26 including step (b) of claim 1, in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed with the proposal and authorized the Examiner to enter the amendments via examiners amendment.